

ISD Action Item Tracking Log Guideline

Number: 580-GL-021-01 Effective Date: February 1, 2005

Effective Date: February 1, 2005

Expiration Date: February 1, 2010

Title: ISD Action Item Tracking Log PAL Number: 1.4.3.5

Purpose

This purpose of this document is to provide uniform guidance for the preparation of action item logs. If desired, this guideline may be used to

Approved By: (signature)

Name: Joe Hennessy

Title: Chief, ISD

track issues as well as action items.

Scope

This guideline should be used for the preparation of all action item logs intended for use within ISD.

Guideline

Each software project within ISD should establish an Action Item (AI) log. The software project defines the criteria and scope for entries into this log. In general, the AIs include all activities assigned by the Product Development Lead or Software Manager during any review of activities or products on that project. The AI log should be maintained within a controlled repository, and should be reviewed periodically at management meetings.

Al logs should be maintained as electronic documents. They may be kept in any electronic format, although a spreadsheet or database is recommended. Emails should not be used for tracking action items.

Many software projects within ISD are part of mission-level Projects. The software project may use a Project-level action item tracking log, if one exists.

Note that action items can have value as historical documents. Closed action items should not be simply deleted; it is better to move them to an archive log.

It is recommended that AI logs have a tabular format. Each log entry should contain the following fields; these will normally appear as column headings in the table:

Field Name	Description			
Item number	This should be a unique number			
Date opened	The date on which the AI was assigned			
Date due	The date by which the AI is expected to be completed			
Date closed	The date on which the AI was actually completed			
Assigned to	The name of the person assigned to complete the Al			
Description	A textual description of the Al			
Status	The status of the AI ('open', 'closed', etc.), along with the date of the status change			
Analysis	A summary of the analysis performed to determine the corrective actions to be taken to address the issue, along with the date of the analysis. When the Al is closed, enter the final analysis that led to the closure.			
Corrective Action	A brief description of the corrective action planned			
Notes	Any other pertinent information regarding the Al. This is an appropriate field for maintaining a chronological record of status changes and work accomplished. (This is an optional field; the column should be provided but need not always contain information.)			

Measures

Several typical measures of interest related to Action Items are shown below, but the actual measures collected may vary from project to project. It is important to track whether or not action items are being closed, and how long it is taking to close out action items. In general, the number of open Action Items should be shown both at selected reporting dates (e.g., weekly) and also cumulatively. The number of Als remaining open longer than some specified time should also be depicted. The measurement data is usually shown in a graph where the X axis is time and the Y axis is the number of action items. If there are no measures, then insert "None."

Recommended Measures:

- Number of Open Als on each reporting date (e.g., weekly)
- Cumulative number of open Als as a function of time
- Number of Als remaining open for what is considered a long time on the project (e.g., over 60 calendar days)

Tools and Templates

The following Tools are available within ISD for maintaining the action item log.

Name	Description
(No tools identified)	

Development Ver	rsion	Date	Description of Development Changes
-----------------	-------	------	------------------------------------

ISD Action Item Tracking, Version: 0.8

page 2

January 25, 2005

History

0.1 Nov 12, 2004 Created initial draft of the Guideline. D. J. Schultz			<u> </u>			
 0.2 Nov. 17, 2004 P. J. Schultz 0.3 Nov. 29, Responded to review comments from S. Godfrey, S. Sharma, L. Landis, P. Arnold, F. McGarry, and A. Centa. D. J. Schultz 0.4 Nov. 30, Responded to additional review comments from S. Godfrey. D. J. Schultz 0.5 Dec. 6, Responded to additional review comments from B. Decker, L. Landis, and M. Tilley. D. J. Schultz 0.6 Dec. 8, 2004 Incorporated actual document number (021), and responded to additional comments from L. Landis and D. Szakal. D. J. Schultz 0.7 Jan. 24, Responded to CCB review comments 0.8 Jan. 25, Negotiated improved wording in response to CCB 						
2004 D. J. Schultz 0.3 Nov. 29, 2004 Responded to review comments from S. Godfrey, S. Sharma, L. Landis, P. Arnold, F. McGarry, and A. Centa. D. J. Schultz 0.4 Nov. 30, Responded to additional review comments from S. Godfrey. D. J. Schultz 0.5 Dec. 6, Responded to additional review comments from B. Decker, L. Landis, and M. Tilley. D. J. Schultz 0.6 Dec. 8, Incorporated actual document number (021), and responded to additional comments from L. Landis and D. Szakal. D. J. Schultz 0.7 Jan. 24, Responded to CCB review comments 0.8 Jan. 25, Negotiated improved wording in response to CCB	0.1	,	Created initial draft of the Guideline. D. J. Schultz			
2004 Sharma, L. Landis, P. Arnold, F. McGarry, and A. Centa. D. J. Schultz 0.4 Nov. 30, Responded to additional review comments from S. Godfrey. D. J. Schultz 0.5 Dec. 6, Responded to additional review comments from B. Decker, L. Landis, and M. Tilley. D. J. Schultz 0.6 Dec. 8, Incorporated actual document number (021), and responded to additional comments from L. Landis and D. Szakal. D. J. Schultz 0.7 Jan. 24, Responded to CCB review comments 0.8 Jan. 25, Negotiated improved wording in response to CCB	0.2	,	·			
2004 Godfrey. D. J. Schultz 0.5 Dec. 6, 2004 Responded to additional review comments from B. Decker, L. Landis, and M. Tilley. D. J. Schultz 0.6 Dec. 8, 2004 Incorporated actual document number (021), and responded to additional comments from L. Landis and D. Szakal. D. J. Schultz 0.7 Jan. 24, Responded to CCB review comments 2005 0.8 Jan. 25, Negotiated improved wording in response to CCB	0.3	,	Sharma, L. Landis, P. Arnold, F. McGarry, and A.			
2004 Decker, L. Landis, and M. Tilley. D. J. Schultz 0.6 Dec. 8, 2004 Incorporated actual document number (021), and responded to additional comments from L. Landis and D. Szakal. D. J. Schultz 0.7 Jan. 24, Responded to CCB review comments 2005 0.8 Jan. 25, Negotiated improved wording in response to CCB	0.4	,	·			
2004 responded to additional comments from L. Landis and D. Szakal. D. J. Schultz 0.7 Jan. 24, Responded to CCB review comments 2005 0.8 Jan. 25, Negotiated improved wording in response to CCB	0.5					
2005 0.8 Jan. 25, Negotiated improved wording in response to CCB	0.6		responded to additional comments from L. Landis and			
	0.7	,	Responded to CCB review comments			
	0.8					

Change History

Version	Date	Description of Improvements
1.0	Jan. 26, 2005	Initial approved version by CCB